FAX FROM:

J. B. KRAFT
Attorney-at-Law
710 COLORADO ST.,Suite 5C
Austin, Texas 78751
(512) 473=2303
Fax: (512) 473-8803

FAX TO: 703-746-4395   *AGENDA REQUESTED*

Examiner M.L. Tran, Art Group 2174

Re: Telephone Interview Agenda 4/21/04 2PM EDT

Four related Applications:

1) SN 09/899,616 First Office Action

- 35USC103 rejection combination of two references

- Will explain why basic <u>Dutta</u> is not modifiable, and modifying Hatori from different art but still does not teach

why Modify

①

basically explain the inventive claim

2) SN 09/899,458 First Office Action   *No*

- 35USC103 rejection combination of two references   ✗

- Basic <u>Pickover</u> relates to same problem but that's all; a completely different solution - reduce cursor size; modifying Fleck different cursor images: no size disclosure.

prior reference

Solves in different way

Different

type of data too broad

3) SN 09/899,455 First Office Action

Bates uses scroll bar indicator to identify and give easy access to each associated specific hyperlink. Present invention uses scroll bar indicator to define the type of data being scrolled through.

4) SN 09/899,604 First Office Action

- 35USC102 rejection must show every element

* The Erten Publication <u>does not</u> show the highlighting of <u>all</u> the items in a set of icons within a predetermined distance from the approaching cursor. Unlike the present invention, Erten does not concern itself with the identification of a set of close adjacent icons by highlighting the set so that the user may then proceed to distinguish between icons in the set. Ert n do s not r v al the highlighting of a plurality of Icons.

②

J. B. Kraft/ 4/20/04

J.B. Kraft